

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
09/183,282	10/30/1998	ANTHONY A. SHAH-NAZAROFF	042390.P6489	2949
75	590 07/01/2002		-	
LAWRENCE M CHO BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			/ COLBERT, ELLA	
7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELE	S CA 90025		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELE	3, CA 30023		3624	#18
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2002	. TI

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	A
Advisory Action	09/183,282	SHAH-NAZAROFF ET	AL.
Advisory Modell	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ella Colbert	3624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	SS
THE REPLY FILED 05 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to h places the applicatio	o a on in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se R 1.136(a) and the approprount of the fee. The approproprogrammally set in the final Off	ee MPEP riate extension riate extension fice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be			
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>			lifying the
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceli</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed an	n <b>endment</b>
5. ☑ The a) ☑ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT p	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were n	iewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an t
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examine	ır.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:			
	SUPERVISOR	Y PATENT EXAMINER OGY CENTER 3600	





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' argument has been considered but is not persuasive because Applicants' amendment to claims 1, 6, and 28 reciting "accessing instructions linked to the selectable identifier" and claims 11, 13, and 15 reciting" the query interface to execute instructions linked to the identifier that require a search engine to be performed in the data engine" have been considered but the Examiner still interprets these claim limitations as not to be understood as being in Applicants' Specification from the language in Applicants' Specification. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the Specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Genus, 988 F.d. 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057(Fed.cir.1993). Therefore, the new matter objection still remains for the reasons stated...